

## Does plastic surgical consultation improve the outcome of patients undergoing radical vulvectomy for squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva?

Emeline M Aviki, MD, MBA<sup>a, e</sup>; Katharine M Esselen, MD, MBA<sup>a, e</sup>; Sara M Barcia, MD<sup>b, e</sup>; Marisa R Nucci, MD<sup>b, e</sup>; Neil S Horowitz, MD<sup>a, e</sup>; Colleen M Feltmate MD<sup>a, e</sup>; Ross S Berkowitz, MD<sup>a, e</sup>; Dennis G Orgill, MD<sup>c, e</sup>; Akila N Viswanathan, MD, MPH<sup>d, e</sup>; Michael G Muto, MD<sup>a, e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

<sup>c</sup>Department of Plastic Surgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

<sup>d</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

<sup>e</sup>Harvard Medicine School, Boston, MA

### Highlights

- Plastics-assisted vulvectomy closure significantly improves margin outcomes in tumors  $\geq 3$  cm.
- Plastics-assisted closure does not independently impact complications.
- History of radiation therapy significantly increases complications.

### Abstract

**Objectives:** To analyze margin status and prognostic factors for complications in patients undergoing vulvectomy for invasive squamous cell cancer (iSCC) with and without plastics-assisted closure.

**Methods:** Demographic and clinical data were collected on 94 patients with iSCC who underwent vulvectomy between 2004 and 2013. All pathology slides were re-reviewed by two gynecologic pathologists. Data were analyzed using XLSTAT-Prov2014.2.02.

**Results:** Of 88 eligible patients, 15(17%) had plastics-assisted vulvar closure and 73(83%) did not. There were significantly more patients in the plastics group with recurrent disease (53% v 10%) and history radiation therapy prior to surgery (40% v 5%). Plastics-assisted closure was associated with larger tumors (3.73cm v 2.03cm,  $p < 0.01$ ) and a higher frequency of adequate margins (53% v 29%,  $p = 0.06$ ). For tumors  $\geq 3.0$ cm, plastics-assisted closure was significantly associated with adequate margins (44% v 6%,  $p = 0.03$ ). Prior radiation use was associated with plastics-assisted closure, larger tumors, older age, and recurrent disease. Complications occurred in 36 patients (41%) and significantly more occurred with plastics-assisted closure (67% v 36%,  $p = 0.04$ ). On multivariate analysis including age, tumor size, recurrent disease, plastics-assisted closure, and history of radiation, only history of radiation therapy was a significant predictor of complications (OR=17, 95%CI 2.05-141.35;  $p = 0.01$ ).

**Conclusions:** Plastics-assisted vulvectomy closure was more often utilized in cases involving past radiation therapy and larger tumors. Plastics-assisted closure significantly increased the frequency of adequate margins in tumors  $\geq 3$ cm and did not impact complications.